### **ARTICLE IN PRESS**

J. Vis. Commun. Image R. xxx (2009) xxx-xxx

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

J. Vis. Commun. Image R.

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jvci

### Short Communication

# A method for sparse disparity densification using voting mask propagation

### J. Ralli\*, J. Díaz, E. Ros

5 Departamento de Arquitectura y Tecnología de Computadores, Escuela Técnica Superior de Ingenierías y de Telecomunicacíon, Universidad de Granada, 6 Calle Periodista Daniel, Saucedo Aranda s/n, E-18071 Granada, Spain

#### ARTICLE INFO

18 10 Article history:

11 Received 31 October 2008

12 Accepted 20 August 2009

13 Available online xxxx

14 Keywords:

Disparity densification 15 Q1

16 Voting propagation

#### ABSTRACT

We describe a novel method for propagating disparity values using directional masks and a voting scheme. The driving force of the propagation direction is image gradient, making the process anisotropic, whilst ambiguities between propagated values are resolved using a voting scheme. This kind of anisotropic densification process achieves significant density enhancement at a very low error cost: in some cases erroneous disparities are voted out, resulting not only in a denser but also a more accurate final disparity map. Due to the simplicity of the method it is suitable for embedded implementation and can also be included as part of a system-on-chip (SOC). Therefore, it can be of great interest to the sector of the machine vision community that deals with embedded and/or real-time applications.

© 2009 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

#### 30

7

#### 1. Introduction 31

32 Disparity is originally defined as being the horizontal difference of a 3D point being projected on two adjacent imaging devices (e.g. 33 stereo-rig) and if both intrinsic- and extrinsic parameters of the 34 imaging system are known, complete 3D-reconstruction of the 35 scene is possible. However, even if we do not know all the neces-36 sary parameters to do a complete 3D-reconstruction, disparity still 37 conveys relative information of the 3D structures of the scene 38 39 which can also be useful.

40 Disparity extraction models are based on local or global optimization methods that minimize (or maximize) matching cost of 41 42 image features between two or more images. Practically all the sparse models have some kind of threshold or other parameter, 43 either implicit or explicit, which affects density and at the same 44 45 time error in the derived disparity map [1,2]. On the other hand, the global methods that minimize energy functions within the 46 47 whole scene, through local operations, usually derive a disparity 48 map that is typically 100% dense (sometimes detecting occlusions as well). Such global minimization can be done using dif-49 ferent approaches such as variational methods [3-7] or graph 50 cuts [8,9]. Typically, depending upon the sparse method used, 51 52 as density increases, after a certain limit error also starts to in-53 crease concomitantly. Therefore, it is worth calculating a less dense, high-confidence disparity map and afterwards increasing 54 55 the density by propagating the correct disparity values. In this

E-mail addresses: jarno@ralli.fi, jarnor@atc.ugr.es (J. Ralli), jdiaz@atc.ugr.es (J. Díaz), eros@atc.ugr.es (E. Ros).

1047-3203/\$ - see front matter © 2009 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.jvcir.2009.08.005

way we achieve better accuracy ys. density trade-off than by di-56 rectly reducing the reliability threshold and thus increasing den-57 sity at the expense of higher error. Many global, dense, disparity 58 calculation methods have built-in mechanisms for propagating/ 59 diffusing disparity [4,10] but sparse methods usually lack this 60 capacity. To the best of our knowledge there are very few independent propagation methods, apart from interpolation [11] and diffusion [12], that can be applied as a post-processing step. By independent we mean that the propagation method does not depend upon the algorithm used to derive the initial disparity map. This work proposes a new densification method that is able to arrive at denser and more accurate results than the standard one-stage disparity algorithms such as dynamic programming, 68 block-matching and so on that only slightly affects the error 69 rate. Since the scheme is based on very simple operations, it 70 can be considered suitable for efficient implementation. Our 71 method resembles image driven anisotropic diffusion, used for 72 instance by Alvarez et al. [4] in variational disparity calculation, 73 in the sense that the propagation direction is based on the image 74 gradient. Instead of using a set of equations for defining the dif-75 fusion model as it is done in [4] our approach (VMP) uses a bank 76 of predefined masks and a voting process to define the local 77 78 interactions driving the diffusion process.

### 2. Method

79

The first step is to calculate a sparse, high-confidence, stereo 80 map. Many feature-based disparity calculation methods match 81 edges present in stereo-images, since these can be considered rel-82



19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28 29

Corresponding author. Fax: +34 958 248993.

19 September 2009

140

2

J. Ralli et al./J. Vis. Commun. Image R. xxx (2009) xxx-xxx

83 atively robust features [13,14]. For the reasons set out in Section 1 84 we use here a simplified dynamic programming technique based 85 on image edges [11]. The rational for using dynamic programming 86 is that it has been shown to be both computationally efficient [15] 87 and capable of producing highly accurate results [2]. Nevertheless, 88 as mentioned earlier, our densification approach does not depend 89 upon the method used for producing the initial sparse disparity 90 map. The second step is to apply voting mask propagation (VMP) 91 for propagating disparity in the direction where estimations are expected to be similar and to use voting for resolving ambiguities. 92 93 Local support of the voting process is based on directional masks: 94 for each image position for which disparity is known a mask from a pre-determined bank is chosen, depending upon the underlying 95 image structure (gradient). The properties of the chosen filter de-96 97 fine how many votes each of the neighborhood positions will 98 receive.

### 99 2.1. Propagation direction

100 Since without further image analysis we cannot be sure of 101 which object an image pixel for which the disparity is known be-102 longs to, and since we assume that inside objects disparity changes 103 gradually, image gradient is used as a driving force of the propaga-104 tion direction. We assume that two different objects will almost certainly have two different disparity levels. By propagating in a 105 106 direction perpendicular to the image gradient we reduce errors since different objects have varying disparities divided by an edge. 107 108 This assumption of local maximum gradient separating different objects is also the basis of anisotropic diffusion, where diffusion 109 110 direction is driven by the gradient [12,16]. In this work we concen-111 trate on the case where the disparities for the edges are known and 112 the disparities are propagated in an edge-wise direction. There is, 113 however, no reason why the disparities not residing at the edges could not be propagated as well. The tangent-to-edge direction is 114 approximated by calculating image gradient. 115

### 116 2.2. Bank of masks

A bank of masks is designed using a 2D multivariate Gaussian distribution which is rotated in order to generate masks corresponding to different propagation directions. The basic mask, corresponding to orientation 0° (i.e. the horizontal axis), is calculated as per the following equation:

123 
$$z = G(i, j, \mu_i = \mu_j = 0, \sum), \quad i = -N \dots N, \quad j = -P \dots P$$
 (1)

where G() denotes multivariate Gaussian, (i, j) are the coordinates of the mask,  $(\mu_i = \mu_j = 0)$  are the mean,  $\sum$  is the covariance, z is the number of votes that each position receives and N and P define the mask size. Fig. 1 shows a voting mask corresponding to several different orientations (rotations).

The use of Gaussian distribution is motivated by the fact that it 129 reflects the probabilistic nature of our approach: the underlying 130 image structure drives the propagation direction and thus reflects 131 our belief on how the disparity is distributed. Other authors have 132 used similar approaches for image denoising [17]. Furthermore, 133 Gaussian multivariate distribution allows a smooth transition from 134 isotropic to anisotropic cases, depending on the certainty of the 135 image structure, which can be used in more elaborated schemes 136 by further analyzing the image. Besides a Gaussian distribution 137 can be implemented as a separable convolution thus making it effi-138 cient computationally. 139

2.3. Choosing the mask

Once the orientations of the edge tangents have been approximated, propagation is carried out for each disparity value using the mask whose orientation best matches the tangent of the edge. The most closely corresponding masks centre is placed on top of the disparity value of interest and each pixel within mask size receives as many votes for the disparity value as defined by the mask. This is shown in the following equation: 147

$$V_{x+i,y+j}(D_{x,y}) = G_{x,y,\Delta}(x+i,y+j), \qquad i = -N \dots N,$$
  
$$j = -P \dots P \qquad (2) \qquad 149$$

where  $V_{x,y}$  indicates votes received by position (x, y) for disparity,  $D, G_{x,y,\Delta}(x+i, y+j)$  denotes how the Gaussian voting mask, chosen as per gradient  $\Delta$ , with a size of (2N+1, 2P+1), placed at (x, y)votes for each mask position. As a final step, after the disparities have been propagated for each of the original disparity values, each pixel position assumes the disparity that receives most votes, as defined in the following equation: 150 151 152 153 154 155 156

$$D_{x,y} = \max_{V}((V_{x,y}, D_{x,y}))$$
(3) 158

where  $D_{x,y}$  indicates the final chosen disparity value for a position (x, y) and  $\max_{V}((V, D))$  returns the disparity value that has received the most votes for a set of vote-disparity tuples (V, D). 161 Due to the spatial support of the voting mechanism erroneous values are in certain cases effectively voted out: if within a certain neighborhood there are more correct values than erroneous values 164



Fig. 1. A bank of 7 × 7 voting masks corresponding to different orientations. Intensity codifies the number of votes each position receives.

Please cite this article in press as: J. Ralli et al., A method for sparse disparity densification using voting mask propagation, J. Vis. Commun. (2009), doi:10.1016/j.jvcir.2009.08.005

3

J. Ralli et al./J. Vis. Commun. Image R. xxx (2009) xxx-xxx

tsukuba



venus



C:90.1% D:12.6%





C:90.4% D:47.2%



cones





C:82.7% D:67.9%



teddy



C:77.2% D:12%



C:77.5% D:50.3%



Fig. 2. Results for the test images: the left-hand column contains left-hand images of the original stereo-pairs, the middle column shows disparity maps calculated by dynamic programming and the right-hand column disparity maps densified using VMP. C denotes the percentage of correct disparities (±1 disparity level) and D, density.

ues, the erroneous values receive fewer votes and are discarded.
Interestingly enough this effect allows the densification process
to arrive at a denser but at the same time more accurate disparity
map than the original.

### 2.4. Pseudocode

For the sake of clarity, below we have included a pseudocode of the propagation process.

169 170

171

Please cite this article in press as: J. Ralli et al., A method for sparse disparity densification using voting mask propagation, J. Vis. Commun. (2009), doi:10.1016/j.jvcir.2009.08.005

**YJVCI 843** 

### 19 September 2009

### ARTICLE IN PRESS

201

220

221

222

4

| 173<br>174 | //I input image driving the voting process   |
|------------|--|
| 174        | //M bank of masks (mask size (N D))  |
| 175        | $\frac{1}{1}$ $\frac{1}$ |
| 170        | //v a matrix for storing the votes (mittalize to 0)  |
| 178        | //VOTE   |
| 179        | //Obtain coordinates for disparities   |
| 180        | (x y) = coords(notEmpry(D))  |
| 181        | for i = 1:numel(x)   |
| 182        | //Choose the closest mask corresponding to gradient normal   |
| 183        | $\Delta I = calculateImageGradient(I(x(i),y(i)))$  |
| 184        | mask = $chooseMask(M, \Delta I)$   |
| 185        | //Vote using the chosen mask   |
| 186        | V = vote(x(i), y(i), mask, D(x(i), y(i)), N, P)  |
| 187        | end  |
| 188        | //CHOOSE WINNERS   |
| 189        | (x y) = coords(notEmpty(V))  |
| 190        | for i = 1:numel(x)   |
| 191        | Qut(x(i),y(i)) = MAX(V(x(i),y(i)))   |
| 192        | end  |
|            |  |

193 194

195

196

The actual voting process can be seen as superimposition of the chosen mask on top of the disparity value to be propagated where each neighboring pixel (defined by the mask) receives as many Tsukuba&Venus Results





Fig. 3. Densification results for several initial densities obtained using different thresholds for dynamic programming. DP refers to results calculated by directly using dynamic programming and VMP refers to results densified from corresponding DP results using voting mask propagation. (A) TS refers to Tsukuba and V refers to Venus images and (B) C refers to Cones and TE refers to Teddy images

votes for the disparity as defined by the weight of the mask as each 197 position. For each pixel position the number of votes for each dis-198 parity needs to be stored so that a winner can be chosen 199 accordingly. 200

### 3. Experiments and results

We benchmarked the method using well known stereo-images 202 available at http://www.vision.middlebury.edu/stereo/. In order to 203 study how the VMP densification process behaves when dealing 204 with different initial densities and/or errors, we have used two dif-205 ferent methods for generating the initial disparity maps provided 206 to the VMP model. The different methods used were dynamic pro-207 gramming (DP) [11] and a phase-based method [1,18]. Further-208 more, we have used different thresholds and interleave factors 209 for DP in order to generate initial disparity maps with different 210 densities and errors. Computational complexity of the our method 211 was approximated by comparing it with execution times of the DP 212 method. We also introduce a sample application that clearly bene-213 fits from a more dense disparity map as input. In the experiments, 214 size of the propagation masks was  $\frac{7}{2} \times \frac{7}{7}$  pixels. Density is given in 215 terms of a ratio between the number of pixels for which disparity 216 has been defined and the total number of pixels in the image. Over-217 all accuracy is measured as the percentage of correct pixels (±1 dis-218 parity level) calculated against the ground-truth values. 219

| າ        | 1 | Door  | 1to |
|----------|---|-------|-----|
| <b>1</b> | 1 | RPSII | 115 |
|          |   | 10000 |     |

Fig. 2 shows the original stereo-pair images and results calculated directly using DP and densified by VMP.

Fig. 3 demonstrates the results for four different initial maps 223 with different densities. The initial maps are calculated using dif-224 ferent thresholds for occlusion detection with the effect of increas-225 ing density at the expense of accuracy. Thus it can be observed that 226 after certain reasonable limit, in order to obtain even more dense 227 map, the error starts to increase. In such a case it is better to calcu-228 late more reliable initial map and then densify. 229

Fig. 4 shows the results for the Venus case only. It can be clearly 230 seen that as the cost for occlusions gets higher (threshold from one 231 to four) density of the resulting disparity map increases slightly at 232 the expense of accuracy. On the other hand, as the error increases 233



Fig. 4. Densification results for several initial densities obtained using different thresholds in dynamic programming. DP refers to dynamic programming and VMP to voting mask propagation. Density refers to the density of the obtained disparity map and correct refers to the percentage of correct disparities (±1 disparity level).

Please cite this article in press as: J. Ralli et al., A method for sparse disparity densification using voting mask propagation, J. Vis. Commun. (2009), doi:10.1016/j.jvcir.2009.08.005

5

J. Ralli et al./J. Vis. Commun. Image R. xxx (2009) xxx-xxx



VMP, C:69% D:61%



Fig. 5. Phase refers to phase-based method and VMP to voting mask propagation. C denotes the percentage of correct disparities (±1 disparity level) and D, density.



Fig. 6. Densification results for several initial densities obtained using different interleaves for dynamic programming. DP refers to results calculated by directly using dynamic programming and VMP refers to results densified from corresponding DP results using voting mask propagation. (a) TS refers to Tsukuba and V refers to Venus images and (b) C refers to Cones and TE refers to Teddy images.



Fig. 7. Densification factor results for different initial disparities. Y-axis shows the density of the initial map while X-axis displays the obtained densification factor.



Fig. 8. Computation times for both the dynamic programming and the voting mask propagation methods. DP refers to dynamic programming and VMP to voting mask propagation.

Please cite this article in press as: J. Ralli et al., A method for sparse disparity densification using voting mask propagation, J. Vis. Commun. (2009), doi:10.1016/j.jvcir.2009.08.005

# ARTICLE IN PRESS

J. Ralli et al./J. Vis. Commun. Image R. xxx (2009) xxx-xxx

≻ VMP

Sc:1 C:74.6% D:15.9%

Sc:1 C:74.1% D:55.9%



Sc:2 C:74.6% D:36.3%



Sc:3 C:73.4% D:64.4%





Sc:2 C:73.3% D:68.1%



Sc:3 C:73.7% D:80%



Sc:4 C:69.2% D:86.3%





Sc:4 C:69.3% D:91.1%



# Median filtering

**Fig. 9.** Down-scaling results using a median filter: the left-hand column contains results of down-scaling Tsukuba disparity calculated using dynamic programming, whilst that on the right shows the results of applying VMP on the first scale and then down-scaling. Sc denotes the scale, while C and D denote percentage of correct disparities (±1 disparity level) and density.

Please cite this article in press as: J. Ralli et al., A method for sparse disparity densification using voting mask propagation, J. Vis. Commun. (2009), doi:10.1016/j.jvcir.2009.08.005

6

7

J. Ralli et al./J. Vis. Commun. Image R. xxx (2009) xxx-xxx



**Fig. 10.** Dense-disparity calculation results: (A) without fusion; (B) fusion using sparse-disparity with 15% density (C) fusion using sparse-disparity with 60% density and (D) the difference between (B) and (C). C denotes the percentage of correct disparities and D, density.

the voting mechanism starts to vote out some of the erroneous values thus increasing the accuracy.

Fig. 5 displays densification results using an initial disparity map calculated by a phase-based method [18]. Density and error of the resulting densified map is similar to the rest of the results even though the starting density with this technique is significantly higher than in the previous experiments based on dynamic programming.

Figs. 6 and 7 demonstrate the results of different initial dispar-242 ities upon the densified disparity and the obtained densification 243 244 factor. Different initial density disparities were obtained using DP with different vertical line interleaves (interleave 1 = disparity cal-245 246 culated for all the vertical lines; interleave 2 = disparity calculated 247 for every second line, etc.). This experiment demonstrates both 248 robustness of the VMP method in relation to initial density and 249 what kind of densification factors can be expected. As the density 250 of the input map increases the densification factor decreases which 251 is due to overlapping of the propagation filters.

Fig. 8 displays the computational times of both the dynamic programming and the voting mask propagation methods, implemented in Matlab. The four different cases correspond to the four different thresholds already seen in Fig. 3. This kind of a comparison is approximate since it depends on implementation issues but, however, it does give a valuable hint of the relative computational complexities.

In applications where less resolution is needed, further densification can be achieved by down-scaling. Fig. 9 shows the results of down-scaling disparity maps by a factor of two, using a  $2 \times 2$  median filter and discarding those pixels that do not have a disparity estimation. In order to calculate the percentage of correct disparities, each of the downscaled disparity maps was upscaled to the same size as the ground-truth.

### 266 3.2. Sample application

We present here a specific application which benefits from a denser sparse-disparity map: fusion of sparse- and dense-disparity stereo [19] for disambiguation of dense disparity estimations. In the framework of DRIVSCO [19] Ralli et al. have demonstrated the benefits of using symbolic, reliable sparse-disparity to disambiguate unclear cases in dense-disparity calculation. We tested the fusion scheme, with different sparse-disparity densities, upon 273 274 a hardware simulation of a phase-based [1,18] disparity calculation method with and without fusion. The hardware simulation 275 approximates a realtime FPGA implementation (currently being 276 implemented at the University of Granada) of the phase-based 277 method. Due to limited on-chip computational resources the 278 implementation requires a trade-off between accuracy and effi-279 ciency. In such a scheme where external approximations are avail-280 able, these can be used to guide the dense method and thus the accuracy can be restored as shown in Fig. 10. As can be seen in Fig. 10, the fusion process benefits clearly from a denser sparsedisparity map used to guide the dense disparity calculation method.

### 4. Conclusion

We have shown that our novel method of propagating sparse disparity information based on directional masks and a voting scheme is capable of significantly increasing density with a very 289 minor increase in overall error, thus considerably enhancing the 290 initial sparse disparity map. Further densification can be achieved 291 by down-scaling, by active interpolation [20-22] or by diffusion 292 [12]. Even though in this study we have used VMP for propagating 293 binocular visual information based on monocular cues, VMP can 294 also be used for propagating other visual cues, such as optical flow 295 and others. The simplicity of the method facilitates its efficient 296 implementation.

### Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the EU research Project DRIVSCO (IST-016276-2) and the Spanish Grants DINAM-VISION (DPI2007-61683), RECVIS (TIN2008-06893-C03-02), P06-TIC-02007 and TIC-3873. The authors thank A.L. Tate for revising their English text.

### References

 F. Solari, S. Sabatini, G. Bisio, Fast technique for phase-based disparity estimation with no explicit calculation of phase, Electronics Letters 37 (23) (2001) 1382–1383.

> 297 298

300 301 302

299

303

304

305 306 307

Please cite this article in press as: J. Ralli et al., A method for sparse disparity densification using voting mask propagation, J. Vis. Commun. (2009), doi:10.1016/j.jvcir.2009.08.005

### **YJVCI 843**

19 September 2009

## ARTICLE IN PRESS

8

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

J. Ralli et al. / J. Vis. Commun. Image R. xxx (2009) xxx-xxx

- [2] M. Brown, D. Burschka, G. Hager, Advances in computational stereo, Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence 25 (8) (2003) 993–1008.
- [3] B. Horn, B. Schunck, Determining optical flow, Artificial Intelligence 17 (1981) 185–203.
- [4] L. Alvarez, R. Deriche, J. Sánchez, J. Weickert, Dense disparity map estimation respecting image discontinuities: a PDE and scale-space based approach, Journal of Visual Communication and Image Representation 13 (1) (2002) 3– 21.
- [5] J.W. Syntagrez, J. Sánchez, Reliable estimation of dense optical flow fields with large displacements, International Journal of Computer Vision 39 (1) (2004) 41–56.
- [6] T. Brox, From pixels to regions: partial differential equations in image analysis, Ph.D. Thesis, Saarland University, 2005.
- [7] O. Faugeras, R. Keriven, Level set methods and the stereo problem, in: Proceedings of the First International Conference on Scale-Space Theory in Computer Vision, 1997, pp. 272–283.
- [8] V. Kolmogorov, Graph based algorithms for scene reconstruction from two or more views, Ph.D. Thesis, Cornell University, 2003.
- [9] V. Kolmogorov, R. Zabin, What energy functions can be minimized via graph cuts?, Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence 26 (2004) 147–159
- [10] D. Scharstein, R. Szeliski, Stereo matching with non-linear diffusion, International Journal of Computer Vision 28 (2) (1998) 155–174.
- [11] J. Ralli, F. Pelayo, J. Díaz, Increasing efficiency in disparity calculation, in: BVAI2007, vol. 4729, 2007, pp. 298–307.
- [12] J. Weickert, Anisotropic diffusion in image processing, Ph.D. Thesis, Universität Kaiserslautern, 1998.
- [13] X. Jiangjian, S. Mubrak, Two-frame wide baseline matching, in: Proceedings of the ICCV'03, 2003, 2003, pp. 603–609.

- [14] N. Krüger, M. Felsberg, An explicit and compact coding of geometric and structural information applied to stereo matching, Pattern Recognition Letters 25 (8) (2004) 849–863.
- [15] G. Minglun, Y. Yee-hong, Real-time stereo matching using orthogonal reliability-based dynamic programming, IEEE Transactions on Image Processing 16 (3) (2007) 879–884.
- [16] P. Perona, J. Malik, Scale-space and edge detection using anisotropic diffusion, IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence 12 (1990) 629–639.
- [17] D. Cho, T.D. Bui, Multivariate statistical modeling for image denoising using wavelet transforms, Signal Processing: Image Communication 20 (1) (2005) 77–89.
- [18] S. Sabatini, G. Gastaldi, F. Solari, J. Diaz, E. Ros, K. Pauwels, M.V. Hulle, N. Pugeault, N. Krüger, Compact and accurate early vision processing in the harmonic space, in: Proceedings of VISAPP, vol. 1, 2007, pp. 213–220.
- [19] J. Ralli, Disparity disambiguation by fusion of signal- and symbolic-level information, Tech. Rep., University of Granada, DRIVSCO EU-Project (FP6-IST-FET, Contract 016276-2), Learning to Emulate Perception-Action Cycles in a Driving School Scenario, 2008. Project web-page available at http:// www.pspc.dibe.unige.it/drivsco/.
- [20] H. Yoo, Closed-form least-squares technique for adaptive linear image interpolation, Electronics Letters 43 (4) (2007) 210–212.
- [21] L. Zhang, W. Xiaolin, An edge-guided image interpolation algorithm via directional filtering and data fusion, IEEE Transactions on Image Processing 15 (2006) 2226–2238.
- [22] H.C. Ting, H.M. Hang, Edge preserving interpolation of digital image using fuzzy inference, Journal of Visual Communication and Image Representation 8 (4) (1997) 338–355.

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346 347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360