

Attentional Suppression Early in the Macaque Visual System

Orban, G.A., Pauwels, K., Van Hulle, M.M., and Vanduffel, W.

ABSTRACT

We review the results of a double label 2-deoxyglucose study in the awake monkey demonstrating that attention can suppress activity at early levels in the primate visual system, in regions surrounding the representation of the attended stimulus. These findings are in agreement with human imaging results and were modeled in a dynamic simulation, highlighting the role of the reticular thalamic nucleus in these suppressive effects.

I. INTRODUCTION

This chapter is devoted to the effects of spatial attention at very early levels of the visual system. A series of publications including both single cell studies in the monkey (e.g., Reynolds et al., 2000; Treue and Maunsell, 1999) and human imaging studies (e.g., Tootell et al., 1998; Kastner et al., 1998) have generated the view that attention effects in the visual system 1) are mainly positive effects enhancing visual responses (but see Brefczynski and DeYoe, 1999); 2) occur relatively late in the hierarchy, at the level of V4 or beyond (but see O'Connor et al., 2002); and 3) have their source in parieto-frontal networks (Corbetta et al., 1998; Wardak et al., 2002). In contrast, the study (Vanduffel et al., 2000) reviewed in the present chapter provides evidence for suppressive attention-dependent modulation of activity at very early levels of the visual system: the dorsal Lateral Geniculate Nucleus (LGN) and striate cortex (or V1). This study also suggests that a subcortical structure, the reticular thalamic nucleus (RTN), might play a role in these attentional effects.

The Vanduffel study also stands out in the use of the relatively uncommon double label 2-deoxyglucose

(2-DG) technique (Livingstone and Hubel, 1981; Geesaman et al., 1997), with which the metabolic activity evoked during two behavioral conditions can be compared at a very high spatial resolution (50 micron or better) throughout the brain. The double label 2-DG lacks the temporal resolution of optical recording, but is applicable to all parts of the monkey visual system. The technique has a better spatial resolution than monkey fMRI, but lacks the versatility of fMRI since only two conditions can be compared. That is the principal reason that we, and others, developed monkey fMRI (Logothetis et al., 1999; Vanduffel et al., 2001), which enables the investigator to sample, albeit indirectly, brain activity over a large number of conditions in the same monkey. The high resolution of the 2-DG technique was crucial, however, for the purpose of the study reviewed.

In single cell studies or functional imaging, the dominant paradigm consists of looking for effects of attention in those neurons, or the population of neurons, that process the stimuli to which attention is directed. Here, we observed a modulation of metabolic activity in the neurons representing regions in visual space surrounding the attended stimulus. Although monkeys fixated nearly identical displays containing a central grating, they either used or did not use the grating to make their behavioral response (an eye movement to a left or right target). Thus, attention was directed either *toward* or *away* from the central grating. Tritiated 2-DG labeled neurons that were activated during the attention to the grating condition, whereas ^{14}C -labeled 2-DG marked neurons that were activated in the attention away from the grating condition (the respective order was randomized between animals). When the monkey used the grating to guide its behavior (i.e., was paying attention to the grating), we observed a ring of suppressed metabolic activity in the

parts of LGN and V1 surrounding the retinotopic representation of the grating.

When the monkey uses the grating, he pays attention to this region of visual space because he has to process the grating orientation. Thus, both spatial and featural attention are likely to be engaged in this condition. In the other condition, only the position of the target matters and thus, only spatial attention (directed to other parts of the display, away from the grating) comes into play.

In the discussion of the experimental findings, we will compare suppression of metabolic activity with decreased activity in the fMRI. We will also introduce a simple dynamic network, showing that the known connections between geniculate relay cells, cortical layers 4 and 6 and reticular neurons can generate the suppressive ring when a stimulus is attended, exactly as observed in our experiments.

II. A RING OF METABOLIC SUPPRESSION

The main data obtained in these experiments consist of differences in 2-DG concentration, reflecting differences in metabolic activity evoked during the two behavioral conditions. Figure 71.1 shows the concentration of radioactive 2-DG sampled over trajectories covering different parts and different layers of striate cortex in one of the four monkeys participating in the experiment. This figure illustrates the basic finding of the study: differences in 2-DG concentration were observed between the two attention conditions in certain layers and in certain parts of V1. Further analysis revealed that 1) these differences occurred mainly in the magnocellular input layer 4C α ; and 2) they were restricted to an annular region surrounding the representation of the grating. The laminar specificity of the effect allowed us to disambiguate the signs of these differences. Since only two conditions were compared, the reduced metabolic activity in the annular region surrounding the representation of the grating could have represented either a reduced activation in the attention to the grating condition or alternatively an increased activation in the attention-away condition. We compared the ratio of activity in layer 4C α and a control layer (layer 4B) for each condition at different parts of V1 (inside the ring, over the ring, outside the ring). This procedure enabled us to establish that in the attention-to-the-grating condition, metabolic activity in the ring was lower in layer 4C α than in layer 4B, whereas the ratio of these activities was similar in all parts of V1 in the other condition (see Fig. 11 of Vanduffel et al., 2000). Thus, our main finding was an

attention-dependent suppression of metabolic activity surrounding the representation of the grating, rather than an enhancement of activity in the attention-away condition.

Figure 71.2 shows the distribution of the differential 2-DG uptake of the two conditions in layer 4C α for the four different animals as a function of eccentricity, along with the radius of the grating used in each animal. This figure indicates that the ring of suppression changed in diameter with that of the grating, confirming that the suppression surrounded the stimulus representation. Note, however, that the strength of the suppression varies little with stimulus diameter.

Remarkably, similar observations were made in the LGN, at least in the magnocellular layers. Again, the suppression varied with the grating diameter (see Fig. 13 of Vanduffel et al., 2000), but in the LGN, the depth of this suppression increased with the diameter. In addition, another subcortical change was observed in the visual thalamus: metabolic activity of the reticular thalamic nucleus increased in the attention-to-the-grating condition relative to the attention-away condition.

III. DISCUSSION AND COMPUTATIONAL MODELING

The results reviewed here clearly indicate that suppressive changes occur in those parts of V1 and LGN that surround the representation of an attended stimulus. This finding is remarkable because of the level in the system at which it occurs, its retinotopic location, and its sign. Human fMRI studies have also reported suppressive effects in human V1 (e.g., Tootell et al., 1998; Smith et al., 2000) and even in human LGN (O'Connor et al., 2002). The effects occur in regions outside the representation of the attended stimulus (typically the fovea when attending a peripheral stimulus), rather than in the representation of the attended stimulus itself. The belief that attention modulates only the representation of the attended stimulus can be so entrenched that analysis is sometimes restricted to this part of cortex (e.g., Gandhi et al., 1999). Only a single study (Smith et al., 2000) has provided hints of a ring of suppression surrounding the representation of the attended stimulus. Human fMRI has also indicated (modestly) increased activation at the level of the stimulus representation, even in V1 (Tootell et al., 1998; Brefczynski and De Yoe, 1999; Gandhi et al., 1999; O'Connor et al., 2002). Such effects were weak in our data and rarely reached significance. There are obvious differences between the two types of experiments:





FIGURE 71.1 Plots of normalized [^{14}C]DG and [^3H]DG concentrations as a function of eccentricity in different layers of flattened area V1. A–C. The ^3H signals (related to the featural-attention task, i.e., the attention-to-the-grating condition) from single V1 sections through layers 2–3, 3–4, and 5–6, respectively. No orientation columns or visually driven enhanced activity can be observed. In the three sections, the fovea is represented toward the left, with more peripheral visual field representations toward the right. The upper visual field is represented in the lower portion of the section. D. Plots of normalized [^3H]DG and [^{14}C]DG concentrations as measured along the lines indicated in A–C (along the representation of the horizontal meridian from foveal to more peripheral visual field representations). Note the suppressed [^3H]DG concentration in more peripheral (solid black arrow in D), but not foveal (dotted black arrow in D) visual field representations of layer $4\text{C}\alpha$.

different species, differences between metabolic and hemodynamic measurements, and different control conditions. To underscore the importance of the latter factor, it is worthwhile to consider some of the evidence for enhanced geniculate responses to attended stimuli (O'Connor et al., 2002). The authors compared two conditions: one in which the subjects attend a central stimulus (to count letters) and one in which the subjects attend a peripheral checkerboard stimulus (to detect its dimming). They compared responses to the checkerboard in the two conditions and reported that

the activity evoked by the checkerboard was larger when the subjects attend to the checkerboard. Since only two conditions were compared, an alternative interpretation of their data would be that there is a smaller response to the checkerboard when the central stimulus is attended. The suppressive effects they described would then be an enhancement of this suppressive effect when the attention to the central stimulus is further loaded.

The difference between hemodynamic measurements (fMRI) and metabolic measurements should not



be underestimated. One reason why suppressive effects are less frequently reported in the fMRI studies, is that they could arise from purely vascular changes. This so-called plumbing hypothesis states that an increase in blood flow in an active brain region reduces blood flow in other less active parts of the brain. Such a hemodynamic effect cannot account for the suppressive effects reported here, supporting the view of those who claim that negative 'BOLD' reflects reduced neuronal activity. The metabolic measurements of the present study also clarify another point of contention in the interpretation of fMRI data; that is, the contribution of inhibitory neurons to the fMRI signal. Our results suggest that inhibitory neurons contribute to the metabolic brain activity (and hence hemodynamic responses) as well as excitatory neurons. Indeed, structures such as the RTN, which include only inhibitory neurons projecting massively to a target structure (here the LGN), show changes in differential metabolic activity opposite in sign to those of the target structure. Activity in the LGN decreased with attention to the grating, whereas activity in the RTN increased. Similar overall reversals in the sign of differential metabolic activity were observed in the basal ganglia (Vanduffel, unpublished PhD thesis) where two inhibitory projections are known to occur in sequence: the sign of the differential 2-DG uptake changed twice when going from the ventral putamen over the ventral pallidum to the medio-dorsal thalamic nucleus.

The results reviewed here also point to the involvement of the reticular thalamic nucleus in the generation of attentional modulation at the early levels of the visual system, in agreement with earlier suggestions (Crick, 1984; Montero, 1999). In order to provide further support for this view we modeled the experimental results using four building blocks: the relay cells of the LGN, the RTN, and layers 4C α and 6 of V1. Each block consists of a chain of 300 neurons, which

FIGURE 71.2 Normalized differential DG uptake (using the spatial attention task as baseline) in layer 4C α of V1 as a function of eccentricity is plotted for all four subjects. Percentage differential DG uptake = [(the normalized DG concentration related to the featural attention task) - (the normalized DG uptake related to the spatial attention task)] / (the normalized DG uptake related to the spatial attention task) \times 100. The measurements along the horizontal and vertical meridians are averaged and thus, each data point represents the average of 90 isotope concentration measurements. The standard deviations are shown for monkey M3. Squares indicate the eccentricities for which the difference in isotope concentration reached a P -value of >0.05 ; two-tailed t -test. The radius of the gratings that were presented in each experiment is indicated by the lines at the bottom of each panel. Note that the shift of lower featural-attention DG uptake from parafoveal to larger eccentricities is correlated with the size of the stimulus.

summate weighted inputs linearly and compute their output using a sigmoidal transfer function. All neurons, except those of the RTN, are excitatory and no assumptions about the time courses of the synapses were included. The model (see Fig. 71.3) bears some resemblance to earlier attempts of Bazhenov et al. (1998) and Montero (1999), but differs from these by including more anatomical data (albeit from lower animals such as cats and rats) and in the dynamic nature of the model. The connections assumed between the four blocks are indicated in Fig. 71.3. Connectivity between any set of two blocks was constant. Noteworthy are the direct inhibition of relay cells by the reticular axons, in agreement with Wang et al. (2001), as well as the larger spread of the projections to and from the RTN compared to those to and from the relay cells, in agreement with the anatomical results of Bourassa and Deschênes (1995) and of Yen et al. (1985). For simplicity other inputs to the RTN have been omitted. The attention signal is assumed to be applied to layer 6 of V1, in agreement with a large body of anatomical results indicating that feedback connections end outside layer 4. One other distin-

guishing feature of the model is the nature of the attention gating signal, which can be uniform rather than spatially restricted. The main mechanism of the attentional modulation is the diffusion of stimulus-driven relay cell activity to RTN, which in turn injects inhibition into regions of the LGN surrounding the stimulus representation. Critical to the model is the large spread of the RTN connections. The fixed-point states, obtained after 10 iterations, reproduce the experimental findings nicely (see Fig. 71.3). The diameter of the suppressive ring increases with stimulus diameter and the depth of suppression depends on stimulus size much more in LGN than in V1. One apparent discrepancy is the absence in the 2-DG study of an attentional modulation in layer 6. This is in all likelihood due to the low level of spontaneous activity in layer 6. In regions surrounding the grating representation, no stimulus was present and therefore, a modulation could be observed only in regions in which spontaneous activity is high enough, such as the LGN and layer 4C of V1. Thus, this model, which is supported by our experimental data, opens new avenues in our thinking about the nature and source of the signals that




FIGURE 71.3 Model for attentional modulation in the thalamocortical network. Left panel shows synaptic connections between LGN relay neurons, RTN, and V1 layers 4Cα and 6 neurons. For each layer, a chain of 300 model neurons with sigmoidal output functions is used. Connectivity to and from RTN is broader (indicated by larger numbers of connections). All connections are excitatory except those coming from RTN; the dashed lines indicate the uniform attentional input in layer 6. Right panel shows the resulting neural output as a function of the neuron's position in the layer in the case of attention (solid lines) and no attention (dashed lines), and for two stimulus sizes (left and right columns). Upper row corresponds to layer 4Cα, middle row to RTN, and bottom row to LGN relay neurons. The thalamocortical model forms a recurrent network with fixed-point iteration dynamics. The plots in the right panel represent the fixed-point states, which are reached after 10 iterations.

cause the attentional modulation in the primate visual system.

IV. CONCLUSION

Using double label 2-deoxyglucose, Vanduffel et al. (2000) observed a ring of metabolic suppression surrounding the representation of the attended stimulus. The involvement of the reticular thalamic nucleus in the generation of this suppression is supported by a dynamic neuronal model.

Acknowledgments

WV is a fellow of the FWO. This work was supported by FWO and GOA 2000/11.

References

- Bazhenov, M., Timofeev, I., Steriade, M., and Sejnowski, T. J. (1998). Computational models of thalamocortical augmenting responses. *J. Neurosci.* **18**, 6444–6465.
- Bourassa, J., and Deschênes, M. (1995). Corticothalamic projections from the primary visual cortex in rats: a single fiber study using biocytin as anterograde tracer. *Neuroscience* **253**, 253–263.
- Brefczynski, J. A., and De Yoe, E. A. (1999). A physiological correlate of the 'spotlight' of visual attention. *Nat. Neurosci.* **2**, 370–374.
- Corbetta, M., Akbudak, E., Conturo, T. E., Snyder, A. Z., Ollinger, J. M., Drury, H. A., Linenweber, M. R., Petersen, S. E., Raichle, M. E., Van Essen, D. C., and Shulman, G. L. (1998). A common network of functional areas for attention and eye movements. *Neuron* **21**, 761–773.
- Crick, F. (1984). Function of the thalamic reticular complex: the searchlight hypothesis. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* **81**, 4586–4590.
- Gandhi, S. P., Heeger, D. J., and Boynton, G. M. (1999). Spatial attention affects brain activity in human primary visual cortex. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* **96**, 3314–3319.
- Geesaman, B. J., Born, R. T., Andersen, R. A., and Tootell, R. B. (1997). Maps of complex motion selectivity in the superior temporal cortex of the alert macaque monkey: a double label 2-deoxyglucose study. *Cerebr. Cort.* **7**, 749–757.
- Kastner, S., De Weerd, P., Desimone, R., and Ungerleider, L. G. (1998). Mechanisms of directed attention in the human extrastriate cortex as revealed by functional MRI. *Science* **282**, 108–111.
- Livingstone, M. S., and Hubel, D. H. (1981). Effects of sleep and arousal on the processing of visual information in the cat. *Nature* **291**, 554–561.
- Logothetis, N. K., Guggenberger, H., Peled, S., and Pauls, J. (1999). Functional imaging of the monkey brain. *Nat. Neurosci.* **2**, 555–562.
- Montero, V. M. (1999). Amblyopia decreases activation of the corticogeniculate pathway and visual thalamic reticularis in attentive rats: a 'focal attention' hypothesis. *Neuroscience* **91**, 805–817.
- O'Connor, D. H., Fukui, M. M., Pinsk, M. A., and Kastner, S. (2002). Attention modulates responses in the human lateral geniculate nucleus. *Nat. Neurosci.* **5**, 1203–1209.
- Reynolds, J. H., Pasternak, T., and Desimone, R. (2000). Attention increases sensitivity of V4 neurons. *Neuron* **26**, 703–714.
- Smith, A. T., Singh, K. D., and Greenlee, M. W. (2000). Attentional suppression of activity in the human visual cortex. *Neuroreport* **2**, 271–277.
- Tootell, R. B. H., Hadjikhani, N., Hall, K. E., Marett, S., Vanduffel, W., Vaughan, J. T., and Dale, A. M. (1998). The retinotopy of visual spatial attention. *Neuron* **21**, 1409–1422.
- Treue, S., and Maunsell, J. H. R. (1999). Effects of attention on the processing of motion in macaque middle temporal and medial superior temporal visual cortical areas. *J. Neurosci.* **19**, 7591–7602.
- Vanduffel, W., Tootell, R. B. H., and Orban, G. A. (2000). Attention-dependent suppression of metabolic activity in the early stages of the macaque visual system. *Cerebr. Cort.* **10**, 109–126.
- Vanduffel, W., Fize, D., Mandeville, J. B., Nelissen, K., Van Hecke, P., Rosen, B. R., Tootell, R. B., and Orban, G. A. (2001). Visual motion processing investigated using contrast agent enhanced fMRI in awake behaving monkeys. *Neuron* **32**, 565–577.
- Wang, S., Bickford, M. E., Van Horn, S. C., Erisir, A., Godwin, D. W., and Sherman, S. M. (2001). Synaptic targets of thalamic reticular nucleus terminals in the visual thalamus of the cat. *J. Comp. Neurol.* **440**, 321–341.
- Wardak, C., Olivier, E., and Duhamel, J. R. (2002). Saccadic target selection deficits after lateral intraparietal area inactivation in monkeys. *J. Neurosci.* **22**, 9877–9884.
- Yen, C. T., Conley, M., Hendry, S. H. C., and Jones, E. G. (1985). The morphology of physiologically identified gabaergic neurons in the somatic sensory part of the thalamic reticular nucleus in the cat. *J. Neurosci.* **5**, 254–268.